AI, Computer Science & Robotics

Jury Evaluation Criteria
(**Junior vs Senior** – Gold : 100 – 91 / Silver: 90-81 / Bronze: 80-65)

Criterion	Junior Points	Senior Points
Original Idea / Innovation (Is the idea new or an improved version of an existing concept in artificial intelligence, computer science, or robotics?)	20	15
Presentation Techniques (Do students demonstrate strong understanding of the project? Are technical concepts and system logic explained clearly? Are language skills effective? Is the presentation well-structured? Are questions answered satisfactorily?)	20	15
Poster & Table Design (Are required sections included as shown on the website? Are system diagrams, code structure, algorithms, or hardware components clearly presented? Is the table informative and well-prepared with relevant materials?)	15	10
Implementation / Realization (How well has the idea been realized? Is there a working program, model, or robotic system? Does it function reliably and effectively? Is there visible effort and development?)	10	15
Methodology / Process (The evaluation focuses on how the project was planned and developed, including problem-solving approach, testing, iteration, and the use of appropriate computational or engineering methods.)	10	10
Scientific / Creative Quality (The evaluation focuses on the quality of outcomes, including algorithmic thinking, correctness of implementation, data usage (if applicable), and whether testing or validation was conducted.)	10	15
Impact / Relevance (Does the project address real-world technological or societal challenges? Does it provide practical or innovative digital solutions? Does it contribute to solving a relevant problem? Future development plan)	15	15
Sources	---	5